Granite State Electric Company d/b/a National Grid Docket DE 11-_ Witness: Demmer

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

KURT F. DEMMER

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction and Qualifications	1
II.	Purpose of Testimony	2
III.	Description of February 2010 and March 2011 Major Storms	3
IV.	Determination of a Major Storm Under the Storm Fund	6
V.	Conclusion	7

I. <u>Introduction and Qualifications</u>

- 2 Q. Please state your full name and business address.
- A. My name is Kurt F. Demmer and my business address is 9 Lowell Road, Salem, New
- 4 Hampshire.

1

5

15

21

- 6 Q. Please state your job position and primary responsibilities.
- 7 A. I am the Acting Director of Electrical Operations for National Grid USA and am
- responsible for the day-to-day operations of the Company's lelectricity distribution
- business. My responsibilities regarding the Company's electric operations include: (1)
- reliability performance, (2) oversight of operation and maintenance construction
- activities, and (3) Regional Incident Command of Storm restoration. In the context of the
- winter storms that occurred on February 24, 2010 (the Feb. 2010 Wind Storm) and March
- 7, 2011 (the Mar. 2011 Ice Storm), I served as Regional Incident Commander pursuant to
- the Company's Electricity Emergency Plan ("EEP") during the Mar. 2011 Ice Storm.
- 16 Q. Please describe your educational background and training.
- 17 A. I graduated from Merrimack College in North Andover, Massachusetts with a Bachelor
- of Science degree in electrical engineering in 1987. In 2002, I received a Masters in
- 19 Electrical Engineering from Worcester Polytechnic Institute in Worcester, Massachusetts.
- I am a registered professional engineer in the state of New Hampshire.

¹ This testimony makes reference to defined terms as defined in the testimony of Ms. Theresa Burns and as such they are not re-defined here.

1 Q. Please describe your professional expertise.

In June 1988, I joined Massachusetts Electric Company as an Operational Field Engineer. 2 A. 3 In 1996, I became a Senior Engineer for Massachusetts Electric Company. In 2000, I accepted a position as Area Supervisor for the Salem area of the Company and was 4 responsible for all distribution engineering and construction for the Company in the 5 Salem/Pelham area. In 2008, I became Manager of Electric Operations in New 6 Hampshire responsible for the operations construction and maintenance functions for the 7 electric distribution organization. In 2010, I became Acting Director of Electrical 8 9 Operations in New Hampshire. My continued areas of responsibility were to oversee the construction, maintenance and operation of the electric distribution system. 10

II. Purpose of Testimony

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

The Company is seeking permission from the Commission for an adjustment to its Storm Recovery Adjustment ("SRA") Factor to fund its Storm Contingency Fund ("Storm Fund"), which has a significant deficit balance as a result of three storms over the past four years. The testimony of Ms. Burns addresses the Company's proposal to adjust the SRA Factor. My testimony discusses the events relating to two major storms – the Feb. 2010 Wind Storm and the Mar. 2011 Ice Storm – that resulted in the costs that, when charged to the Storm Fund, contributed to a significant deficit balance as described in her testimony. Specifically, my testimony addresses: (1) the impact of and the associated restoration efforts with respect to the Feb. 2010 Wind Storm and Mar. 2011 Ice Storm, and (2) how these two weather events qualify as Major Storms as defined by the

Company's Storm Fund.

2

3

1

Q. How is your testimony organized?

A. The remainder of my testimony is organized as follows: The remainder of my testimony consists of two sections. First, I will describe the impact of and the Company's response to the Feb. 2010 Wind Storm and Mar. 2011 Ice Storm. Following that, I will provide the basis for the Company's conclusion that these two weather events qualified as Major Storms pursuant to the definition in the Company's Storm Fund.

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

III. Description of February 2010 and March 2011 Major Storms

11 Q. Please describe the Feb. 2010 Wind Storm.

The Feb. 2010 Wind Storm resulted in the most severe outage event the Company experienced that year, and included restoration challenges that rivaled the worst storms affecting the Company's service area. Despite the damage and large number of customer interruptions, the Company led a successful storm restoration effort, which succeeded in restoring service to its New Hampshire customers by March 1, 2010. Overnight (Thursday, February 25 into Friday, February 26), hurricane force winds interrupted service to over 11,000 of the Company's customers. The winds, combined with torrential rains and snow, toppled hundreds of trees, bringing down utility poles and power lines. Although the outages were concentrated in the communities of Salem, Pelham, and Lebanon, the storm impacted customers in 17 of the 21 communities served by the Company.

23

1		In all, the Company experienced 219 events, with 11,319 customers interrupted during
2		the Feb. 2010 Wind Storm. In response to these outages, the Company provided 17
3		contractor tree service crews, 45 contractor line crews, 29 affiliate company line crews,
4		and 5 Company line crews in the field to restore power.
5		
6		Additionally, the Company provided 6 Company Damage Assessment personnel, 20
7		Company personnel as Wires Down personnel, and 10 other personnel for support of all
8		restoration efforts. Ninety-one percent of the Company's customers affected were
9		restored by the morning of Monday, March 1, with the remaining 806 customers restored
10		by end of that day.
11		
12	Q.	Please explain Schedule KFD-1 to your testimony.
13	A.	Schedule KFD-1 is the Company's report on the Feb. 2010 Wind Storm, which the
14		Company filed as part of its annual Storm Fund report on April 15, 2011. However, the
15		Company has revised page 3 of the report because, in the original report, the Company
16		inadvertently listed the line crews that responded as workers as opposed to crews.
17		
18	Q.	Please describe the Mar. 2011 Ice Storm.
19	A.	The Mar. 2011 Ice Storm also resulted in a severe outage event for the Company,
20		resulting in more than 83 troubles and interrupting 5,787 customers.
21		
22		Overnight Sunday, March 6, and into Monday, March 7, wet snow was predicted in the
23		Lebanon area. The Company pre-staged two overhead line crews for the event, one crew

for Lebanon and one crew for Charlestown. Shortly after 2 am, March 7, the Company 1 experienced outages in the Blueberry Hill area in Hanover. The Company called all 2 3 remaining internal Lebanon crews at approximately 2 am. In addition to the overhead line crews, additional personnel were utilized to do a preliminary damage assessment. 4 The Company requested outside assistance at approximately 5 am. 5 6 During the first day of the Mar. 2011 Ice Storm, there were approximately 5,300 7 customers without service. The heavy rain had created icing in the higher elevation areas 8 9 in the Lebanon area such as Hardy Hill, Lebanon; Etna Road, Hanover; Methodist Hill 10 area in Plainfield; South Street, Canaan; and East Hill, Enfield. The icing created a significant number of downed trees and accretion (the accumulation of ice) was observed 11 12 at over ½". There was minimal pole damage, however, pole top equipment damage was prevalent including damage to crossarms and wires. Due to the severe icing and downed 13 14 trees, some of the Company's customers were without power from Monday, March 7 until Wednesday, March 9. 15 16 During the peak of the Company's response, the Company provided 49 contractor tree 17 service crews, 19 contractor line crews, 60 affiliate company line crews, and 7.5² 18 Company line crews in the field to restore power. Additionally, the Company provided 4 19 company Damage Assessment personnel, 60 Company personnel as Wires Down 20 21 appraisers, and 10 other personnel for support of all restoration efforts.

1		All but four of the Company's customers affected were restored by 6 pm Wednesday,
2		March 9. These remaining customers were restored by 8:45 pm that night.
3		
4		Schedule KFD-2 is a description of the Mar. 2011 Ice Storm, presented in the format in
5		which the Company will present the storm in it its annual Storm Fund Report.
6		
7	IV.	Determination of a Major Storm Under the Storm Fund
8	Q.	How does the Company's Storm Fund define a "Major Storm"?
9	A.	The Company's Storm Fund defines a "Major Storm" as a severe weather event or events
10		causing 30 concurrent troubles and 15% of customers interrupted, or 45 concurrent
11		troubles. Troubles are defined as interruption events that occur on either primary or
12		secondary lines. In essence a major event is where widespread outages or Service
13		Interruptions have occurred in the service area of the Company due to storms or other
14		causes beyond the control of the Company.
15		
16	Q.	Based upon the outages experienced as a result of the Feb. 2010 Wind Storm and
17		Mar. 2011 Ice Storm, do these storms qualify, based on the outage criteria, for
18		Storm Fund reimbursement?
19	A.	Yes they do. Based on the outages and "troubles" as defined by the Storm Fund, each of
20		the Major Storms I've described above meet the qualifications for Storm Fund
21		reimbursement. Both the Feb. 2010 Wind Storm and Mar. 2011 Ice Storm resulted in

² The Company designates a crew as consisting of two workers. Therefore, a half-crew consists of one worker and is typically represented by a troubleworker supporting the overhead system or an extra crew member supporting the

more than 45 instances of concurrent troubles.

2

- 3 V. <u>Conclusion</u>
- 4 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 5 A. Yes, it does.